



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/757,411	01/15/2004	Soo-Min Byun	SEC.1096	3375	
20987 VOLENTINE	7590 06/26/2007 & WHITT PLLC		EXAMINER		
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE			KOCH, GEORGE R		
11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260 RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1734		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/757,411	BYUN ET AL.	•			
		Examiner	Art Unit	T			
		George R. Koch II					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period fo							
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, however will apply and will expire S cause the application to	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ap	oril 2007.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiren	ent.				
Application	on Papers						
9)🖾 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•		•				
Attachment	• •	_		·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/2005; 5/24/2007. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 3/1/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the prior Examiner (Brian Talbot) has misinterpreted the claims and therefore has not established the burden. This is not found persuasive because the method requires a coating liquid dispensing step (see line 5 of claim 7). Furthermore, even if applicant's argument is persuasive on those ground, the apparatus as claimed merely has to have the structure, and does not have to perform the method steps of claims 7-11.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to due to the following informalities. In paragraph 0008, reference is made to "The UV source portion 62" in a discussion of Figure 1. It appears that the number "22" was intended. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "the" is repeated twice in line 5. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/757,411

Art Unit: 1734

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (Figure 1 and paragraphs 0003-0016 of the specification) in view of Kau (US 6,086,676).

As to claim 1, applicant's admitted prior art discloses a pad coating system (Figure 1), comprising: an ultraviolet (UV) source portion (item 22) which includes first control switches (items 1, 2, 3), which irradiates UV light during a pad coating operation in response to a UV source open/close signal (signal SOC); a dispenser (item 24) which includes second control switches (items 4 and 5), which dispenses a coating liquid during the pad coating operation in response to a coating condition designation signal (signal ADD); and a prober (item 10)which generates the UV source open/close signal and the coating condition designation signal, which controls the pad coating operation (via controller 12)

Application/Control Number: 10/757,411

Art Unit: 1734

Applicant's admitted prior art does not discloses that the UV source portion outputs first signals indicative of respective operative states of the first control switches, or that the dispenser outputs second signals indicative of respective operative states of the second control switches, or that the prober stops the pad coating operation in response to the first and second signals, although the admitted prior art discloses that errors due to improperly set switches are known (see paragraph 00015).

Essentially, applicant's admitted prior art does not disclose an interlock system which shuts off the control signals from the prober whenever switches 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 are set to the wrong state.

However, interlock switches are well known in microelectronics manufacturing apparatus. Kao discloses that semiconductor manufacturing devices frequently have multiple operating parameters and functions, and that interlock signals are utilized to prevent certain functions or conditions (see column 1, lines 27-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized the generic interlock system and signals of Kao with the apparatus of the admitted prior art in order to prevent certain undesirable functions or conditions.

As to claim 2, the admitted prior art discloses the prober, and Kao as incorporated discloses an interrupt signal generating circuit (see Figures 4A-4D) which generates an interrupt signal in response to the first and second signals, and it would have been obvious to connect these signals to the admitted prior controller which stops the pad coating operation in response to the interrupt signal.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,411

Art Unit: 1734

As to claim 3, applicant's admitted prior art discloses that the pad coating system further comprises an input/output portion (item 20), which transmits and receives data to and from the controller.

As to claim 4, the admitted prior art discloses the prober, and Kao as incorporated discloses a first interrupt signal generating circuit which generates a first interrupt signal in response to the first signals and a second interrupt signal generating circuit (Kao's generic system allows for multiple interlocks - see for example, column 8, lines 46-65) which generates a second interrupt signal in response to the second signals, and it would have been obvious to connect these signals to the admitted prior controller which stops the pad coating operation in response to the interrupt signal.

As to claim 5, applicant's admitted prior art discloses that the first control switches include a shutter open/close switch (item 1) which opens and closes a shutter to enable UV irradiation, a time/manual mode switch which sets a UV irradiation time either automatically or manually (item 2), and a UV lamp switch turns on and off a UV lamp (item 3). See also paragraph 0008.

As to claim 6, applicant's admitted prior art discloses that the second switches include an internal/external mode switch which sets pad coating conditions either automically or manually, and a time/manual mode switch which sets a coating time either automatically or manually.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Koch III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1230 (TDD only). If the applicant cannot make a direct TDD-to-TDD call, the applicant can

Art Unit: 1734

communicate by calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-866-377-8642 and giving the operator the above TDD number. The examiner can also be reached by E-mail at george.koch@uspto.gov in accordance with MPEP 502.03. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Philip Tucker can be reached on (571) 272-1095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George R. Koch III Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

GRK 6/20/2007